arXiv:1602.02295v3 [hep-th] 9 May 2016

On Unification of Gravity and Gauge Interactions

Ali H. Chamseddine[1,3] , **Viatcheslav Mukhanov**[2]

[1] *Physics Department, American University of Beirut, Lebanon*
[2] *Theoretical Physics, Ludwig Maxmillians University,Theresienstr. 37, 80333 Munich, Germany*
[3] *I.H.E.S. F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France*

Abstract

Considering a higher dimensional Lorentz group as the tangent symmetry, we unify gravity and gauge interactions in a natural way. The spin connection of the gauged Lorentz group is then responsible for both gravity and gauge fields, and the action for the gauged fields becomes part of the spin curvature squared. The realistic group which unifies all known particles and interactions is the $SO(1,13)$ Lorentz group whose gauge part leads to $SO(10)$ grand unified theory and contains double the number of required fermions in the fundamental spinor representation. Mirror fermions could acquire mass utilizing a mechanism employed for topological superconductors. Family unification could be achieved by considering the $SO(1,21)$ Lorentz group.

1 Introduction

In General Relativity the Lorentz group is realized as a local symmetry of the tangent manifold. There exists no spinor representations of the diffeomorphisms and this dictates the use of this local symmetry in curved space-time. Usually the dimension of the tangent group is taken to be equal to the dimension of the curved manifold and the Lorentz symmetry is then simply a manifestation of the equivalence principle for spaces without torsion. Considering the group of local Lorentz transformations in tangent space, we can reformulate General Relativity as a gauge theory where the gauge fields are the spin-connections. If the dimensions of space-time and tangent space are the same, the gauge fields (spin-connections) simply encode the same amount of information about dynamics of the gravitational field as the affine connections and nothing more. However, the dimension of the tangent space must not necessarily be the same as the dimension of the manifold [1]. In [2] we have shown that the metricity condition have unambiguous solution also in the case when the tangent group of 4d manifold is ten dimensional and corresponds to the de Sitter or anti de Sitter group. In such case the theory is also completely equivalent to General Relativity. In this paper we consider the dimension of the tangent group to be more than six and show that this allows us to unify Yang-Mills gauge theories with gravity in terms of higher dimensional gauged Lorentz groups. The gauge transformations are then realized as subgroup of the tangent Lorentz group and the spinors describing matter are "unified" all being in the fundamental representation of this higher dimensional Lorentz group. The realistic group which unifies all particles within one family is $SO(1,13)$ and naturally leads to Einstein gravity with the $SO\left(10\right)$ gauge group being, however, not entirely equivalent to the $SO\left(10\right)$ grand unified theory. This, however, suffers from the presence of mirror fermions. This problem, may be cured by considering a more complicated model such as $SO(1,21)$ giving rise to an $SO\left(18\right)$ grand unified theory which utilizes topological superconductors [3], [4] to give masses to the mirror fermions leaving only three massless families with $SU\left(5\right)$ symmetry [5].

2 Tangent group

Let us consider a 4-dimensional manifold and assume that at every point of this manifold there is real N-dimensional "tangent space"[1] spanned by linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{v}_A$, where $A = 1, 2...N$. Assuming that $N \geq 4$, the coordinate basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_\alpha \equiv \partial/\partial x^\alpha$, where $\alpha = 1, ...4$, span 4-dimensional (sub)space in this space. Next we define the scalar product in the "tangent space" and take vectors $\mathbf{v}_A$ to be orthonormal with respect to the "Minkowski matrix" η_{AB} $(-, +, ..., +)$

$$\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_B = \eta_{AB}. \tag{1}$$

The Lorentz transformations

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A = \Lambda_A{}^B \mathbf{v}_B, \qquad \Lambda_A{}^C \eta_{CD} \Lambda_A{}^D = \eta_{AB} \tag{2}$$

preserve the orthogonality of the basis vectors $\mathbf{v}_A$, $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_B = \eta_{AB}$. The scalar product of coordinate basis vectors, which also reside in the tangent space, induces the metric in the 4-dimensional manifold

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta}(x^\gamma). \tag{3}$$

Expanding $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ in $\mathbf{v}_A$-basis we have

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha = e^A_\alpha \mathbf{v}_A, \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients of the expansion e^A_α are the vielbiens (or soldering forms). Substituting in (3) we obtain the following expression for the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = e^A_\alpha e^B_\beta \eta_{AB} = e^A_\alpha e_{A\beta}. \tag{5}$$

Hereafter, we always raise and lower tangent space indices with Minkowski metric η_{AB}. Next we consider parallel transport on the manifold relating vectors in the "nearby" tangent spaces. The affine and spin-connections determining the rules of parallel transport for coordinate basis vectors and vielbiens are defined by

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_\beta} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \equiv \nabla_\beta \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{e}_\nu, \quad \nabla_\beta \mathbf{v}_A = -\omega_{\beta A}{}^B \mathbf{v}_B, \tag{6}$$

where ∇_β is the derivative along a coordinate basis vector $\mathbf{e}_\beta$. For example when ∇_β is applied to a scalar function f it gives $\nabla_\beta f = \partial f/\partial x^\beta$. Notice

[1] By abuse of notaton, we refer to the space of the tangent group as the tangent space.

that η_{AB} and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ as defined in (1) and (3) must be considered as the sets of scalar functions and, hence, $\nabla_\beta \eta_{AB} = 0$, $\nabla_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial g_{\alpha\beta}/\partial x^\gamma \equiv \partial_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta}$[2].

Given η_{AB}, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and e^A_α let us derive the consistency (metricity) conditions for the connections. Taking derivative of equation (1) and using (6) we obtain

$$(\nabla_\alpha \mathbf{v}_A)\cdot \mathbf{v}_B + \mathbf{v}_A \cdot (\nabla_\alpha \mathbf{v}_B) = -\omega_{\beta AB} - \omega_{\beta BA} = \nabla_\alpha \eta_{AB} = 0, \tag{7}$$

i.e., the spin-connection should be antisymmetric in tangent indices, $\omega_{\beta AB} = -\omega_{\beta BA}$. Applying ∇_β to

$$e_{A\alpha} = (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{e}_\alpha), \tag{8}$$

one gets

$$\partial_\beta e_{A\alpha} = (\nabla_\beta \mathbf{v}_A)\cdot \mathbf{e}_\alpha + \mathbf{v}_A \cdot (\nabla_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha), \tag{9}$$

or using definitions in (6)

$$\partial_\beta e_{A\alpha} = -\omega_{\beta A}{}^B e_{B\alpha} + \Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} e_{A\nu}. \tag{10}$$

Hereafter we assume that the space-time is torsion-free, that is, $\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}$. In this case, $16N$ equations (10) can be solved to express 40 affine connections $\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta}$ and $2N(N-1)$ spin-connections $\omega_{\beta AB}$ in terms of the derivatives of the soldering forms $\partial_\beta e_{A\alpha}$. The number of equations matches the number of connections to be determined only if the dimension of the tangent space is equal either to $N = 4$ or $N = 5$ [2]. For $N \geqslant 6$ the number of equation in (10) is less than the number of unknown connections and $2N^2 - 18N + 40 = 2(N-4)(N-5)$ variables remain undetermined by soldering forms. Let $N = n + 4$, then the number of unconstrained components of the spin-connections $\omega_{\beta A}{}^B$ is $2n(n-1)$ which matches the number of $SO(n)$ gauge fields. As we will see this allows us to account for the gauge transformations which become unified with gravity for higher dimensional gauged Lorentz group of the tangent space. Considering

$$\partial_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\gamma \left(e^A_\alpha e_{A\beta}\right) = \left(\partial_\gamma e^A_\alpha\right) e_{A\beta} + e^A_\alpha \left(\partial_\gamma e_{A\beta}\right), \tag{11}$$

and substituting in the right hand side the expression for $\partial_\gamma e^A_\alpha$ from (10) we find

$$\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\nu\beta} + \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\gamma} g_{\alpha\nu} = \partial_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{12}$$

[2]We use the notations and methods of Misner, Thorne, Wheeler [8], in particular, Chapters 9 and 10.

In the absence of torsion, $\Gamma^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^{\nu}_{\beta\alpha}$, these equations are solved unambiguously, to give the well known Christoffel connection

$$\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\sigma} \left(g_{\alpha\sigma,\beta} + g_{\sigma\beta,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta,\sigma} \right), \tag{13}$$

where $g^{\gamma\sigma}$ is inverse to $g_{\alpha\beta}$, that is, $g^{\alpha\sigma} g_{\sigma\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$. We would like to stress that the affine connections are determined unambiguously irrespective of the dimension of the tangent space.

For constructing gauge invariant Lagrangians we will also need e^{α}_{A} defined as

$$e^{\alpha}_{A} = g^{\alpha\gamma} e_{A\gamma}, \tag{14}$$

which can be easily seen to satisfy the metricity condition

$$\partial_{\beta} e^{\alpha}_{A} = -\omega_{\beta A}{}^{B} e^{\alpha}_{B} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu} e^{\nu}_{A}. \tag{15}$$

The soldering form e^{α}_{A} is inverse to e^{B}_{β} only if the number of dimensions of the tangent space and manifold match each other. The contraction over the tangent space indices gives

$$e^{\alpha}_{A} e^{A}_{\beta} = g^{\alpha\gamma} e_{A\gamma} e^{A}_{\beta} = g^{\alpha\gamma} g_{\gamma\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}, \tag{16}$$

however, $e^{\alpha}_{A} e^{B}_{\alpha} \neq \delta^{A}_{B}$. To prove this, let us introduce $N-4$ orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}$ orthogonal to the subspace spanned by $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$, that is, $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = 0$ and $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\hat{I}} = \delta_{\hat{J}\hat{I}}$, where $\hat{J}, \hat{I} = 5, 6, ..., N$. The vectors $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}$, $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$ form a complete basis in tangent space and therefore $\mathbf{v}_{A}$ can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{v}_{A} = v^{\alpha}_{A} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} + n^{\hat{J}}_{A} \mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}. \tag{17}$$

Taking into account (8) we have

$$e_{A\gamma} = (\mathbf{v}_{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}) = v^{\alpha}_{A} g_{\alpha\gamma}, \tag{18}$$

and hence, $v^{\alpha}_{A} = g^{\alpha\gamma} e_{A\gamma} = e^{\alpha}_{A}$, that is, the coefficients v^{α}_{A} in (17) coincide with soldering form e^{α}_{A}. Taking this into account one gets

$$\eta_{AB} = \mathbf{v}_{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{B} = v^{\alpha}_{A} v^{\beta}_{B} g_{\alpha\beta} + n^{\tilde{J}}_{A} n_{\tilde{J}B} = e^{\alpha}_{A} e_{\alpha B} + n^{\hat{J}}_{A} n_{\hat{J}B}, \tag{19}$$

or after raising the tangent space index B we obtain

$$e^{\alpha}_{A} e^{B}_{\alpha} = \delta^{B}_{A} - n^{\hat{J}}_{A} n^{B}_{\hat{J}} \equiv P^{A}_{B} \tag{20}$$

where P^A_B is a projection operator: $P^A_C P^C_B = P^A_B$. The components $n^{\hat{J}}_A$ satisfy the following relations

$$n^A_{\hat{J}} e^\alpha_A = 0, \quad n^A_{\hat{J}} n^{\hat{I}}_A = \delta^{\hat{I}}_{\hat{J}}. \tag{21}$$

To verify these relations let us consider the expansion

$$\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}} = l^B_{\hat{J}} \mathbf{v}_B \tag{22}$$

Substituting this expression into $n_{\hat{J}A} = (\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)$ we obtain $n_{\hat{J}A} = l^B_{\hat{J}} \eta_{BA}$ and hence $l^B_{\hat{J}} = n^B_{\hat{J}}$; therefore

$$\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}} = n^B_{\hat{J}} \mathbf{v}_B = n^B_{\hat{J}} \left(e^\alpha_B \mathbf{e}_\alpha + n^{\hat{I}}_B \mathbf{n}_{\hat{I}} \right), \tag{23}$$

from which (21) follows immediately.

In vielbiens formalism the soldering form e^α_A is a fundamental quantity which is required to be invariant under the group of *local* Lorentz transformations (2), where $\Lambda_A{}^B = \Lambda_A{}^B(x)$. Under Lorentz transformations the basis vectors $\mathbf{v}_A$ transform as

$$\mathbf{v}_A \to \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A = \Lambda_A{}^B \mathbf{v}_B, \tag{24}$$

and correspondingly

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha = e^B_\alpha \mathbf{v}_B = e^B_\alpha \left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_B{}^A \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A = \tilde{e}^A_\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A. \tag{25}$$

It then follows that

$$e^A_\alpha \to \tilde{e}^A_\alpha = e^B_\alpha \left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_B{}^A, \qquad e^\alpha_A \to \tilde{e}^\alpha_A = \Lambda_A{}^B e^\alpha_B. \tag{26}$$

The transformation law for the spin-connection follows from its definition:

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\beta A}{}^B \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_B = -\nabla_\beta \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A \tag{27}$$

Substituting $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_B = \Lambda_B{}^C \mathbf{v}_C$ and taking into account (6) we deduce that

$$\omega_{\beta A}{}^B \to \tilde{\omega}_{\beta A}{}^B = \left(\Lambda \omega_\beta \Lambda^{-1}\right)_A{}^B + \left(\Lambda \partial_\beta \Lambda^{-1}\right)_A{}^B, \tag{28}$$

where Λ and Λ^{-1} are the matrices corresponding to Lorentz transformation and its inverse.

3 Curvature

To introduce the curvature for the spin-connection, consider the spinors ψ which transform in tangent space according to

$$\psi \to \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\lambda^{AB}\Gamma_{AB}\right)\psi, \tag{29}$$

where $\Gamma_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma_A\Gamma_B - \Gamma_B\Gamma_A\right)$ are generators of the Lie algebra in the spinor representation and Γ_A are N Dirac matrices satisfying

$$\left\{\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B\right\} = 2\eta^{AB}, \quad \Gamma^{\dagger A} = \Gamma^0\Gamma^A\Gamma^0. \tag{30}$$

The Dirac action

$$\int d^4x\sqrt{g}\,\overline{\psi}i\Gamma^C e_C^\alpha D_\alpha\psi, \tag{31}$$

where

$$D_\alpha \equiv \partial_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\omega_\alpha^{AB}\Gamma_{AB} \tag{32}$$

is invariant under transformations (26), (28) and (29). Notice that hermiticity of the Dirac action in (31) is guaranteed by the metricity condition (10).

Next construct the spin-connection curvature by considering the commutator of Dirac operators

$$[D_\alpha, D_\beta] = \frac{1}{4}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}\Gamma_{AB}, \tag{33}$$

where

$$R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega) = \partial_\alpha\omega_\beta{}^{AB} - \partial_\beta\omega_\alpha{}^{AB} + \omega_\alpha{}^{AC}\omega_{\beta C}{}^B - \omega_\beta{}^{AC}\omega_{\alpha C}{}^B. \tag{34}$$

Under Lorentz transformations this spin curvature transforms as

$$\left(R_{\mu\nu}\right)_A{}^B \to \left(\Lambda R\Lambda^{-1}\right)_A{}^B. \tag{35}$$

To relate the spin-connection curvature to the affine connection curvature consider the identity

$$\partial_\beta\partial_\alpha e_{A\gamma} - \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta e_{A\gamma} = 0. \tag{36}$$

Substituting here the expression for ∂e from (10) and using this metricity condition one more time to express ∂e which appear after taking the derivative, we immediately arrive at the following relation

$$R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega)\, e_{B\gamma} = R^\rho{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)\, e_\rho^A, \tag{37}$$

where

$$R^{\rho}{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}\left(\Gamma\right)=\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\beta\gamma}-\partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\gamma}+\Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\kappa}\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\beta\gamma}-\Gamma^{\rho}_{\beta\kappa}\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\alpha\gamma}, \tag{38}$$

is the Riemann curvature. Taking (16) into account, we can express the 4d Riemann curvature from (37) in terms of $R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}\left(\omega\right)$ as

$$R^{\sigma}{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}\left(\Gamma\right)=e^{\sigma}_{A}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}\left(\omega\right)e_{B\gamma} \tag{39}$$

irrespective of the number of dimensions of the tangent space. Inversely we can express $R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}\left(\omega\right)$ in terms of $R^{\sigma}{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}\left(\Gamma\right)$ by using (20) to obtain

$$R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}\left(\omega\right)=R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AC}\left(\omega\right)n^{\hat{I}}_{C}n^{B}_{\hat{I}}+R^{\rho}{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}\left(\Gamma\right)e^{A}_{\rho}e^{B\gamma}. \tag{40}$$

Next we will show that the first term on the right hand side of this equation can be entirely expressed in terms of the spin-connections defining the parallel transport of vectors $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}$ in the subspace of tangent space orthogonal to those part spanned by the four coordinate basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$. These connections, which we denote by $A_{\beta\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}$ for the reasons which will become clear later, are defined as

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}=-A_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}\mathbf{n}_{\hat{I}}+B_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\beta}\mathbf{e}_{\beta} \tag{41}$$

where indices $\hat{J}$ and $\hat{I}$ run over values $5,6,...,N$. These indices are also raised and lowered with the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\hat{I}\hat{J}}$. We now show that $B_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\beta}=0$ and derive the metricity conditions for $A_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}$. On one hand

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\mathbf{v}_{A}=-\omega_{\alpha A}{}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{B}=-\omega_{\alpha A}{}^{B}\left(e^{\gamma}_{B}\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}+n^{\hat{I}}_{B}\mathbf{n}_{\hat{I}}\right), \tag{42}$$

where we have used (17) in the last equality, while on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\alpha}\mathbf{v}_{A}=\nabla_{\alpha}\left(e^{\gamma}_{A}\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}+n^{\hat{I}}_{A}\mathbf{n}_{\hat{I}}\right)=\left(\partial_{\alpha}e^{\gamma}_{A}+e^{\beta}_{A}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}\\+\left(\partial_{\alpha}n^{\hat{I}}_{A}-n^{\hat{J}}_{A}A_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}\right)\mathbf{n}_{\hat{I}}+n^{\hat{I}}_{A}B_{\alpha\hat{I}}{}^{\beta}\mathbf{e}_{\beta}\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Using (42), (43) and (15) we deduce that

$$B_{\alpha\hat{I}}{}^{\beta}=0. \tag{44}$$

Thus, the affine connection of the vector $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}$ lies entirely in the subspace spanned by the basis vectors $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{J}}$. Moreover, as it follows from (42) and (43) that

$$\partial_{\alpha}n^{\hat{I}}_{A}=n^{\hat{J}}_{A}A_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}-\omega_{\alpha A}{}^{B}n^{\hat{I}}_{B}. \tag{45}$$

Next let us define

$$D_\alpha(\omega)\, n_A^{\hat{I}} \equiv \partial_\alpha n_A^{\hat{I}} + \omega_{\alpha A}{}^{C} n_C^{\hat{I}}, \tag{46}$$

and consider the commutator

$$[D_\alpha(\omega), D_\beta(\omega)]\, n_A^{\hat{I}} = R_{\alpha\beta A}{}^{C}(\omega)\, n_C^{\hat{I}} \tag{47}$$

On the other hand according to (45)

$$D_\alpha(\omega)\, n_A^{\hat{I}} = n_A^{\hat{J}} A_{\alpha\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}} \tag{48}$$

and therefore

$$[D_\alpha(\omega), D_\beta(\omega)]\, n_A^{\hat{I}} = D_\alpha(\omega)\left(n_A^{\hat{J}} A_{\beta\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}\right) - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) = n_A^{\hat{J}} F_{\alpha\beta\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}(A), \tag{49}$$

where

$$F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}(A) = \partial_\alpha A_\beta^{\hat{I}\hat{J}} - \partial_\beta A_\alpha^{\hat{I}\hat{J}} + A_\alpha^{\hat{I}\hat{L}} A_{\beta\hat{L}}{}^{\hat{J}} - A_\beta^{\hat{I}\hat{L}} A_{\alpha\hat{L}}{}^{\hat{J}}. \tag{50}$$

Thus comparing (49) and (47) we conclude that

$$R_{\alpha\beta A}{}^{C}(\omega)\, n_C^{\hat{I}} = n_A^{\hat{J}} F_{\alpha\beta\hat{J}}{}^{\hat{I}}(A) \tag{51}$$

and using this result in (40) we finally obtain

$$R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega) = F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\hat{J}\hat{I}}(A)\, n_{\hat{J}}^A n_{\hat{I}}^B + R^\rho{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)\, e_\rho^A e^{B\gamma}. \tag{52}$$

To get the Lagrangian for the theory we have to build curvature invariants out of $R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega)$ and e_A^γ. Contracting the tangent space index in $R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}$ with e_A^σ always removes the F term in (52) thanks to (21) .There exist only one scalar invariant in the linear order in curvature

$$R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega)\, e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta = R(\Gamma), \tag{53}$$

where $R(\Gamma)$ is the usual scalar curvature of 4d manifold which gives us the Einstein action. Second order invariants in curvature which are obtained by contracting $R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB} R_{\gamma\delta}{}^{CD}$ with four soldering forms $e_A e_B e_C e_D$ in all possible combinations of indices $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ give us the space-time curvature invariants

$$R^2(\Gamma),\ R_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma) R^{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma),\ R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma) R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma), \tag{54}$$

and only the contraction of tangent space indices with themselves generate kinetic terms for $A_\beta^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}$:

$$g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega)\,R_{\gamma\delta AB}(\omega)=g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}\left(F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}(A)\,F_{\gamma\delta\hat{I}\hat{J}}(A)\right)+R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma)\,R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma)\,. \tag{55}$$

In this last expression the Yang-Mills kinetic term appears as part of the gravitational curvature square term.

To summarize, the most general action, up to quadratic order in curvature is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I=&\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{16\pi G}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega)\,e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta-\frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}(\omega)\,R_{\gamma\delta AB}(\omega)\right.\\ &\left.+R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{AB}R_{\gamma\delta}{}^{CD}\left(ae_A^\alpha e_B^\beta e_C^\gamma e_D^\delta+be_A^\alpha e_C^\beta e_B^\gamma e_D^\delta+ce_C^\alpha e_D^\beta e_A^\gamma e_B^\delta\right)\right] \qquad (56)\\ =&\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{16\pi G}R(\Gamma)+aR^2(\Gamma)-bR_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)\,R^{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)\right.\\ &\left.+\left(c-\frac{1}{4}\right)R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma)\,R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Gamma)-\frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}(A)\,F_{\gamma\delta\hat{I}\hat{J}}(A)\right] \qquad (57)\end{aligned}$$

where a, b, and c are dimensionless constants. We note that it is possible to avoid the ghost in the graviton propagator by choosing the Gauss-Bonnet combination of the curvature square terms which corresponds to the choice $a=\frac{b}{4}=c-\frac{1}{4}$.

The easiest way to understand the above results which showed that the $SO(1,N-1)$ invariants split into $SO(1,3)$ and $SO(N-4)$ invariants, is to work in a special gauge. We first split the constraint (15) for $A=a=1,...,4$ and $A=\hat{I}=5,...N$:

$$0=\partial_\mu e_a^\nu+\omega_{\mu a}{}^b e_b^\nu+\omega_{\mu a}{}^{\hat{I}}e_{\hat{I}}^\nu+\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\nu e_a^\rho \tag{58}$$

$$0=\partial_\mu e_{\hat{I}}^\nu+\omega_{\mu\hat{I}}{}^a e_a^\nu+\omega_{\mu\hat{I}}{}^{\hat{J}}e_{\hat{J}}^\nu+\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\nu e_{\hat{J}}^\rho \tag{59}$$

The vielbeins e_A^μ transform under $SO(1,N-1)$ transformations according to

$$e_A^\mu\to\tilde{e}_A^\mu=\Lambda_{AB}e^{\mu B}. \tag{60}$$

In particular,

$$e_{\hat{I}}^\mu\to\tilde{e}_{\hat{I}}^\mu=\Lambda_{\hat{I}a}e^{\mu a}+\Lambda_{\hat{I}\hat{J}}e^{\mu\hat{J}}. \tag{61}$$

The action, by construction, is invariant under $SO(1,N-1)$ rotations. Thus, it is possible to use the gauge invariance and the freedom in the choice of gauge parameters $\Lambda_{\hat{I}a}$ to set $e^{\mu}_{\hat{I}}$ to zero

$$e^{\mu}_{\hat{I}} = 0. \tag{62}$$

This leaves the gauge parameters Λ_{ab} and $\Lambda_{\hat{I}\hat{J}}$ arbitrary, corresponding to invariance under the subgroup $SO(1,3)\times SO(N-4)$. With this gauge choice we see that equation (59) implies

$$\omega_{\mu\hat{I}}{}^{a} = 0, \tag{63}$$

assuming that e^{μ}_{a} is invertible. The remaining equation (58) can now be solved to give the usual expression for $\omega_{\mu a}{}^{b}$ in terms of e^{μ}_{a} and its derivative. In this special gauge $\omega_{\mu\hat{I}}{}^{\hat{J}} = A_{\mu\hat{I}}{}^{\hat{J}}$ and

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{a\hat{I}} = 0, \tag{64}$$

while nonvanishing components of the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}$ are responsible for the gravity and gauge fields respectively.

Thus, the gauge groups can be considered as subgroup of the Lorentz group of a higher dimensional tangent space. The connections $A^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}_{\alpha}$ transform under $SO\left(N-4\right)$ rotations in a subspace orthogonal to the space spanned by coordinate tangent vectors. The gauge fields come unified with gravity within $SO\left(1,N-1\right)$ Lorentz group. In case $N=5$, the connection A^{55}_{α} vanishes and there are no extra gauge fields in addition to gravity in agreement with [2]. For $N=6$ the connection A^{56}_{α} is a Maxwell field and the local gauge group $SO\left(2\right)$ is obviously isomorphic to the $U\left(1\right)$ group of electrodynamics. Thus, electromagnetism is unified with gravity in $SO\left(1,5\right)$ tangent space group. The realistic group which can allow us to unify all known interactions is $SO\left(1,13\right)$. In this case in addition to gravity, the theory describes 45 dynamical gauge fields $A^{\hat{I}\hat{J}}_{\alpha}$ which transform under $SO\left(10\right)$ group. However, if one wishes to also include family unification, then a larger group such as $SO\left(1,21\right)$ would be needed.

4 Fermions

The matter content of the theory, described by fermions, must be in the fundamental spinor representation of the corresponding Lorentz group $SO\left(1,N-1\right)$.

At this point, it is useful to make a scan of possible unification groups by considering various dimensions of the tangent space in four dimensional manifold.

When the tangent space have only one extra dimension compared to the dimension of space-time the tangent group is the de Sitter group $SO\left(1,4\right)$. In this case $\omega_{\mu}^{\hat{5}\hat{5}}=0$ because ω_{μ}^{AB} is skew-symmetric in tangent indices and there is no gauge group in addition to the gravity. The spinors are defined in the $SO(1,4)$ tangent space, where neither the Weyl nor the Majorana condition could be imposed. By changing the signature of $SO\left(1,4\right)$ to $SO\left(2,3\right)$ the Majorana condition could be imposed. The $SO\left(2,3\right)$ case is completely identical to General Relativity with $SO(1,3)$ tangent group [6] .

For $N=6$ the gauge group is $SO(2)$ and it describes the Maxwell field. The spinors are in the $SO\left(1,5\right)$ tangent space, where a symplectic-Majorana or Weyl condition can be imposed. The Clifford algebra is then $Cl\left(1,5\right)=\mathbb{H}\left(4\right)$ and the spinor is of dimension 8. It reduces to two independent spinors when the symplectic-Majorana or Weyl condition is imposed, which are equivalent to a Dirac spinor, or a pair of Majorana spinors with respect to $SO(1,3)$.

In a seven dimensional tangent space ($N=7$) the gauge group is $SO\left(3\right)$, which is locally isomorphic to $SU\left(2\right)$. The Clifford algebra of the $SO\left(1,6\right)$ tangent group is $Cl\left(1,6\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(8\right)$ and the spinor is of dimension 8. No further conditions can be imposed in this case to reduce the number of independent components. The spinor is of the form $\psi_{\alpha i}$ with $i=1,2$ in the spinor representation of $SO\left(3\right)$ and it is a Dirac spinor with respect to the index α.

When $N=8$, the gauge group is $SO\left(4\right)$ and the tangent group is $SO\left(1,7\right)$. The Clifford algebra for this tangent group is $Cl\left(1,7\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(16\right)$ and the spinor is of dimension 16. It can be subject to the Weyl condition, thus, reducing the number of independent components to 8. Since $SO\left(4\right)$ is locally isomorphic to $SU\left(2\right)\times SU\left(2\right)$ the spinor is of the form $\psi_{\alpha i}$ and $\psi_{\alpha i'}$ where $i=1,2$ and $i'=1,2$ are in the spinor representations of the two $SU\left(2\right)$.

Continuing this consideration to higher N we find that the smallest rotation group that has $SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)$ gauge group of the Standard Model as a subgroup is $SO(10)$ and a good candidate for the realistic model which unifies gravity with gauge interactions is

$$\mathcal{G}=SO(1,13) \tag{65}$$

local symmetry group of the tangent space in the four dimensional manifold. A spinor $\psi_{\widehat{\alpha}}$ in the fundamental representation of $SO(1,13)$ has $2^7 = 128$ components on which one can impose a Weyl condition

$$(\Gamma_{15})^{\widehat{\beta}}_{\widehat{\alpha}}\,\psi_{\widehat{\beta}} = \psi_{\widehat{\alpha}} \tag{66}$$

where $\Gamma_{15} = \Gamma_0\Gamma_1\cdots\Gamma_{13}$ satisfies $(\Gamma_{15})^2 = 1$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, ..., \Gamma_{13}$ are fourteen $2^7 \times 2^7$ gamma matrices that satisfy the Clifford algebra $Cl\,(1,13)$. The Weyl condition reduces the number of independent components of the spinor to $\frac{1}{2}\,(128) = 64$. This corresponds to a Dirac $SO\,(1,3)$ spinor in the $16_s + \overline{16}_s$ representation of $SO(10)$. The 64 independent component spinor describes 32 two components Weyl fermions. Thus, the number of fermions in the fundamental spinor representation of $SO\,(1,13)$ is twice more than in the Standard Model, where one family contains only 16 Weyl fermions. A Majorana mass term that couples 16_s to $\overline{16}_s$ vanishes identically in this case and one has to appeal to some mechanism to make the mirror fermions very heavy to avoid conflict with experiments. Such mechanism could be borrowed from the study of topological superconductors, where only the mirror fermions $\overline{16}_s$ acquire mass without breaking the $SO\,(10)$ symmetry [4], [3], [5]. Consider the coupling,

$$\overline{\psi}_{\widehat{\alpha}}\left(\lambda\left(\Gamma^A\right)^{\widehat{\beta}}_{\widehat{\alpha}}H_A + \lambda'\left(\Gamma^{ABCDE}\right)^{\widehat{\beta}}_{\widehat{\alpha}}H_{ABCDE}\right)\psi_{\widehat{\beta}} \tag{67}$$

where H_A and H_{ABCDE} are Higgs fields whose kinetic terms are completely antisymmetrized

$$F_{AB} = D_A H_B - D_B H_A \tag{68}$$

$$F_{ABCDEF} = 5\,[D_A\,H_{BCDE]} \tag{69}$$

where $D_A = e^\mu_A D_\mu$. If H_A and H_{ABCDE} develop vev

$$\langle H_A\rangle = \delta^I_A\phi_I \tag{70}$$

$$\langle H_{ABCDE}\rangle = \frac{1}{4!}\delta^{abcd}_{[ABCD}\,\delta^I_{E]}\epsilon_{abcd}\phi_I \tag{71}$$

The field ϕ_I will then couple to the $\chi = 16_s$ and $\chi' = \overline{16}_s$ with couplings $\lambda_{10} = \lambda - \lambda'$ and and $\lambda'_{10} = \lambda + \lambda'$

$$\left(\lambda_{10}\overline{\chi}\Gamma^I\chi + \lambda'_{10}\overline{\chi}'\Gamma^I\chi'\right)\phi_I \tag{72}$$

It is then assumed that the couplings $\lambda_{10} \ll 1$ and $\lambda'_{10} \sim 1$ so that the fermions χ would see ϕ_I as a Higgs field and the mirror fermions χ' would see ϕ_I as collection of wildly fluctuating scalar fields which could be replaced with constants and thus making the $\overline{16}_s$ super-heavy [5]. Further breaking of $SO(10)$ is then achieved by the usual Higgs mechanism using appropriate scalar fields [7]. One can go further and allow for family unification by considering the group $SO(1,21)$ which has the same spinorial properties as $SO(1,13)$ as the dimensions differ by 8. Majorana mass terms that couples the 16_s and $\overline{16}_s$ vanish. The grand unified group in this case is $SO(18)$ and a full analysis of such model is given in [5] where it is argued that it is possible to obtain three families of $\overline{5}+10$ of $SU(5)$ and where all the extra matter acquires mass. The symmetry breaking takes the route

$$SO(18) \to U(9) \to SU(5) \times SU(4) \to SU(5) \times SO(5) \tag{73}$$

The last stages of breaking are done through the usual Higgs mechanism.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that one can unify gauge interactions with gravity by considering higher dimensional tangent groups in a four dimensional space-time. The gauged Lorentz group of the tangent space describes simultaneously the symmetry groups of gravity and gauge interactions, provided a metricity condition is satisfied. The spin-connections of the higher dimensional tangent space fully incorporate information on the affine connection of space-time as well as the gauge fields. Those connections which are responsible for gravity are "composite" because they satisfy extra constraints which allow to express them in terms of the derivatives of the vielbeins. On the other hand the spin-connections responsible for gauge interactions do not obey any constraints and hence are independent. The complete geometric unification of gravity and gauge interactions is realized by writing the action of the theory just in terms of curvature invariants of the tangent group which contains the Yang-Mills action for gauge fields.

A realistic group which unifies gravity with gauge interactions and contains the Standard Model is $SO(1,13)$ in a fourteen dimensional tangent space. It corresponds to $SO(10)$ grand unified theory concerning the gauge fields content, however, it has double the number of fermions in the form of $16_s + \overline{16}_s$. It is not easy to decouple the mirror fermions by giving them

very heavy masses via Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism. Instead, this could be done by appealing to a mechanism used for topological superconductors, where the $\overline{16}_s$ could be made very heavy. One can go further and unify the three families by considering $SO(1,21)$ instead of $SO(1,13)$ with $SO(18)$ grand unification group as argued in [5]. Since the Dirac operator plays a fundamental role in this setting, it is natural to look for connections between this construction and that of noncommutative geometry. In addition, the need to add Higgs scalar fields suggests that a total unification of gravity, gauge and Higgs fields within one geometrical setting, should be possible by replacing the continuous four-dimensional manifold by a noncommutative space which has both discrete and continuous structures [9]. This possibility and others will be the subject of future investigations.

Notes added

- After this paper was submitted we were informed by R. Percacci of his work in references [10],[11] [12]. In reference [10] a $GL(4,R)$ model is considered with torsion and a connection with non-metricity. In reference [11] this is generalized to $GL(N,R)$ broken spontaneously to $O(1,N-1)$. In reference [12] the issue of chiral fermions in a gauged $SO(3,11)$ model broken to $SO(3,1)\times SO(10)$ where the Majorana-Weyl condition is imposed to avoid mirror fermions. This model does suffer from the presence of ghosts for scalar Higgs fields and whenever the Minkowski metric is used an odd number of times. Although the methods in these works are similar to the ones presented here, there is little overlap.

- Michel Dubois-Violette, communicated to us the following. In 1970, R. Greene has proved that a 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold admits locally an isometric smooth free embedding in Minkowski space $M(1,13)$ [13]. There is a similar result proved the same year for the Euclidean signature in M.L. Gromov and V.A. Rokhlin [14]. This means that one can include an arbitrary deformation of the four-manifold in the same flat space and eventually expect to *quantize* space-time in the fixed Minkowski space $M(1,13)$.

- Latham Boyle pointed to us the relevance of the work on topological insulators and superconductors to give mass to mirror fermions as in references [3] and [4].

Acknowledgement 1 *A.H.C would like to thank the Pauli Center at the Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland and the Arnold Sommerfeld Institute for Theoretical Physics at the Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany for their hospitality where this research was done. His work is also supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant No. Phys-1202671 and Phys-1518371. The work of V.M is supported by TRR 33 "The Dark Universe" and the Cluster of Excellence EXC 153 "Origin and Structure of the Universe".*

References

[1] S. Weinberg, *Generalized theories of gravity and supergravity in higher dimensions,* in Proceeding of fifth workshop on grand unification, Editors K. Kang, H. Fried and P. Frampton, World Scientific, 1984.

[2] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, *Gravity with de Sitter and unitary Tangent Groups,* JHEP03 (2010) 033.

[3] X. G. Wen, *A lattice Non-perturbative Definition of an* $SO(10)$ *Chiral Gauge Theory and its Induced Standard Model,* Chin. Phys. Lett. **30** (2013) 111101.

[4] A. Kitaev, *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors,* AIP Conf. Proc. **1134** (2009) 22, arXiv:0901.2686.

[5] Yoni BenTov and A. Zee, *Origin of Families and* $SO(18)$ *Grand Unification,* Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 065036.

[6] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, *Who ordered the anti-de Sitter tangent group?,* JHEP11 (2013) 095.

[7] A. H. Chamseddine and J. Frohlich, $SO(10)$ *Unification in Noncommutative Geometry,* Phys. Rev. D**50** (1994) 2893.

[8] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation,* Freeman and Company, 1993.

[9] A. H. Chamseddine and Alain Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry as a Framework for Unification of all Fundamental Interactions,* Fortschr. Phys. **58** (2010) 553.

[10] R. Percacci, *The Higgs phenomena in quantum gravity,* Nucl. Phys. **B353** (1991) 271.

[11] R. Percacci and F. Nesti, *Gravi-weak unification,* J.Phys. **A41** (2008) 075405.

[12] R. Percacci and F. Nesti, *Chirality in unified theories of gravity,* Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 025010.

[13] R. Greene, *Isometric embeddings of Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. N°**97** A.M.S. 1970.

[14] M.L. Gromov and V.A. Rokhlin, *Embeddings and immersions in Riemannian geometry*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, (1970) **25**; Russian Math. survey, (1970) **25**, 1-57.